<b>Committee:</b> Strategic Development	Date: 2 <sup>nd</sup> April 2009	9	Classification: Unrestricted	Agenda Item No: 6.1	
Additional Report of: Corporate Director of		Title: Application for planning permission			
Development and Renewal		Ref: PA/08/2292			
Case Officer: Stephen Irvine		Ward: M	lillwall		

## 1. APPLICATION DETAILS

- Location: 443-451 Westferry Road, E14.
- **Existing use:** Vacant former engineering works and ancillary offices.
- **Proposal:** Erection of six buildings from 2 to 8 storeys in height to provide 189 residential units, with provision of basement and surface car parking, associated servicing and landscaping, together with incidental works.

The application for planning permission is accompanied by an Environmental Impact Assessment pursuant to the Town And Country Planning (Environmental Impact Assessment) Regulations 1999.

Drawing Nos: (PL)002, (PL)003, (PL)004, (PL)005, (PL)006, (PL) 007, (PL)008, (PL)100, (PL)011, (PL)020, (PL)021, (PL)030, (PL)031, (PL) 032, (PL)033, (PL)034, (PL)040, (PL)041, (PL)042, (PL)043, (PL)044 and (PL)045.

> Environmental Statement Volumes 1, 2 & 3 with Non-Technical Summary and Additional Regulation 19
> Information.
> Design and Access Statement.
> Access Strategy – Supplementary Information.
> Energy Statement.
> Statement of Community Involvement.
> Affordable Housing Statement and Economic Appraisal.
> Landscape Report.

- Applicant: Glenkerrin (UK) Limited
- Owner: Glenkerrin (UK) Limited

#### Historic buildings: N/A

LOCAL GOVERNMENT ACT 2000 (Section 97) LIST OF BACKGROUND PAPERS USED IN THE DRAFTING OF THIS REPORT

Brief Description of background	Tick if copy supplied for register	Name and telephone no. of holder
Application case file, plans, adopted UDP, London Plan, emerging LDF and Isle of Dogs AAP		Development Control 020 7364 5338

**Conservation area:** Chapel House Conservation Area adjoins.

### 2. SUMMARY OF MATERIAL PLANNING CONSIDERATIONS

- 2.1. The Local Planning Authority has considered the particular circumstances of the application against the Council's approved planning policies contained in the Tower Hamlets Unitary Development Plan 1998, the Council's interim planning guidance 2007, associated supplementary planning guidance, The London Plan 2008 and Government Planning Policy Guidance and has found that:
  - The provision of residential accommodation on the Island Point site is supported by policy 3A.1 and 5G.3 of the London Plan, accords with the Proposals Map of the Council's interim planning guidance 2007 and policies IOD25 and IOD26 of the Council's Isle of Dogs Action Area Plan interim planning guidance 2007 that seek to increase London's supply of housing
  - The density of the scheme would not result in the overdevelopment of the site and any of the problems typically associated with overdevelopment. As such, the scheme is in line with policy 3A.3 of The London Plan 2008, policies DEV1 and DEV2 of the Council's Unitary Development Plan 1998 and policies DEV1 and DEV2 of the Council's Interim planning guidance 2007 which seek to provide an acceptable standard of development throughout the borough.
  - The new buildings in terms of height, scale, design and appearance are acceptable in line with national advice in PPG15, policies 4B.1, 4B.8, 4B.10, 4B.11, 4B.12 and 4B.14 of The London Plan 2008, policies DEV1 and DEV2 of the Council's Unitary Development Plan 1998 and policies CP49, DEV1, DEV2 and CON2 of the Council's interim planning guidance 2007 which seek to ensure development is of a high quality design and preserve or enhance the character and appearance of conservation areas.
  - Considered with the parallel redevelopment of the City Pride site, 15 Westferry Road (Ref. PA/08/2293) and taking account of the submitted Affordable Housing Statement and Economic Appraisal, the provision of 41.5% affordable housing across the two sites with a tenure comprising 71% social rented and 29% intermediate housing by habitable rooms, would comply with The London Plan policies 3A.9, 3A.10 and policies CP22, HSG3 and HSG4 of the Council's interim planning guidance 2007.
  - Considered with the parallel redevelopment of the City Pride site, 15 Westferry Road (Ref. PA/08/2293), the proposed residential mix across the two sites would be satisfactory as an exception to policy HSG2 of the Council's interim planning guidance 2007.
  - Transport matters, including vehicular and cycle parking, vehicular and pedestrian access and servicing arrangements are acceptable and in line with policy T16 of the Council's Unitary Development Plan 1998 and

policies DEV16, DEV17, DEV18 and DEV19 of the Council's interim planning guidance 2007, which seek to ensure developments can be supported within the existing transport infrastructure.

- Sustainability and renewable energy matters are appropriately addressed in line with policies 4A.7 – 4A.9 of the London Plan and DEV5 – 9 and DEV 11 of the Council's interim planning guidance 2007, which seek to ensure developments reduce carbon emissions and result in sustainable development through design measures, water quality, conservation, sustainable drainage, sustainable construction materials, air pollution and air quality.
- Contributions have been secured towards the provision of highway and public transport improvements, community and open space provision, education provision and health care together with the implementation of travel plans in line with Circular 05/2005, policies 3B.3 and 5G3 of The London Plan 2008, policy DEV4 of the Council's Unitary Development Plan 1998 and policy IMP1 of the Council's interim planning guidance 2007, which seek to secure contributions toward infrastructure and services required to facilitate development.
- The submitted Environmental Impact Assessment supplemented by Additional Information is satisfactory, including the cumulative impact of the development, with mitigation and safeguarding measures to be implemented through conditions and a recommended legal agreement.

# 3. **RECOMMENDATIONS**

3.1. **1.** That the Committee resolves to **GRANT** planning permission subject to:

**A**. Any direction by The Mayor of London.

**B**. The prior completion of a legal agreement, to the satisfaction of the Assistant Chief Executive (Legal Services), to secure the following:

(a) To provide 41.5% of the residential accommodation across both the City Pride, 15 Westferry Road and Island Point (443-451 Westferry Road) sites as affordable housing measured by habitable rooms with a tenure split of 71% social rented and 29% intermediate housing with a cascade down to a minimum of 40% affordable housing in the event of no grant and a mechanism to ensure that the affordable housing at the Island Point site is provided prior to the completion of the on-site market housing at both sites.

(b) A £133,400 **Bus Network Contribution** comprising £113,400 to fund improvements to local bus services and £20,000 to fund the upgrading of bus stops.

- (c) To fund and implement a **Transport Plan** comprising:
  - The submission and implementation of a residential travel plan, a

delivery service plan and a construction logistics plan.

- To establish and maintain a residents car club.
- To provide, install and maintain DAISY board(s) to provide driver and transport information.
- A £75,000 contribution to Transport for London (TfL) to fund a bicycle hire station.
- Car free arrangements that prohibit residents of the development other than disabled people from purchasing on street parking permits from the borough council.

(d) A **Community and Open Space Contribution** of £630,178 to help fund open space improvements, leisure facilities and Library / Idea Store facilities on the Isle of Dogs.

- (e) A Highway Improvement Works Contribution of £240,000.
- (f) An Education Contribution of £654,126.

(g) To participate in the Council's Access to Employment and / or Skillsmatch programmes.

(h) To make a **Healthcare contribution** of £367,689 to help fund the capital programme of the Tower Hamlets Primary Care Trust.

(k) Any other planning obligation considered necessary by the Corporate Director Development & Renewal.

- 3.2 That the Corporate Director Development & Renewal be delegated authority to negotiate the legal agreement indicated above.
- 3.3. That the Head of Development Decisions be delegated authority to issue the planning permission and impose conditions (and informatives) to secure the following:

## 3.5 **Conditions**

- 1. 3 year time limit.
- 2. Facing materials (including samples) to be approved.
- 3. Details of a landscaping scheme to include hard and soft finishes, any gates, walls and fences, including the treatment of the perimeter wall to property in Chapel House Street and Locksfield Place together with external lighting to be submitted and approved.
- 4. Approved landscaping scheme to be implemented.
- 5. Details of green roofs to be submitted approved and implemented.
- 6. Details of acoustic glazing and ventilation for the buildings fronting Westferry Road adequate to protect residents from Noise Exposure Category C shall be submitted approved and implemented.
- 7. Prior to the commencement of the relevant works of the development, the developer shall submit the following details to be approved in writing by the local planning authority;

(a) Energy efficiency and passive design measures including the façade U-values.

(b) Specification on whether cooling is required in the apartments, the steps taken to minimise this requirement and the methods for providing this cooling through sustainable energy measures.

(c) The details of the CHP system and the arrangements in place for selling of the electricity.

(d)The details of the biomass boiler.

(e) Evidence of the financial viability of the roof top PV system.

(f) A schematic drawing of the plant room.

- 8. In accordance with the proposals made in the Energy Strategy dated June 2008, the approved low carbon and renewable energy technologies shall be implemented and retained for so long as the development shall exist except to the extent approved in writing by the local planning authority.
- 9. Prior to the commencement of the relevant works of the development the applicant shall submit the details to be approved in writing by the local planning authority of the Code for Sustainable Homes Pre-Assessment demonstrating the residential units of the development are capable of achieving a minimum of Code Level 3 and Code Level 4 where possible.
- 10. Prior to the occupation of the development, the applicant shall submit the details to be approved in writing by the local planning authority of the Final Code for Sustainable Homes Assessment showing the residential units achieve Code Level 3 as a minimum and Code Level 4 where possible which is verified by the awarding body.
- 11. The approved details of the sustainable design and construction measures shall be implemented and retained for so long as the development shall exist except to the extent approved in writing by the local planning authority.
- 12. The development shall be carried out in accordance with the Flood Risk Assessment Ref. WCL36823 (ES) 001 Rev A 05 dated October 2008.
- 13. There shall be no infiltration of surface water drainage into the ground other than with the express written consent of the local planning authority.
- 14. No piling or other foundation design using penetrative methods shall be undertaken other than with the express written consent of the local planning authority. The development shall be carried out in accordance with the approved details.
- 15. Decontamination of the site.
- 16. 10 stands within the cycle stand provision within the stores at ground level providing space shall be allocated for 20 visitor's bicycles.
- 17. Hours of construction time limits (08.00 to 18.00) Monday to Friday, 08.00 to 13.00 Saturdays and not at all on Sundays or Bank Holidays.
- 18. Piling hours of operation time limits (10.00 to 16.00 Mondays to Fridays, 10.00 to 13.00 Saturdays) and not at all on Sundays or Bank Holidays.
- 19. The development authorised by this permission shall not commence until the Council (as local planning authority and the highway authority) has approved in writing a scheme of highway improvements necessary to serve the development being alterations to the adopted length of Westferry Road.
- 20. Amending condition ensuring the provision of a further 56 units that

contain a separate kitchen.

21. Any other planning condition(s) considered necessary by the Corporate Director Development & Renewal.

## 3.6 Informatives

- 1. Planning permission subject to section 106 agreement.
- 2. Planning permission under section 57 only.
- 3. Wheel cleaning facilities during construction.
- 4. Consultation with the Council's Department of Traffic and Transportation regarding alterations to the public highway and Condition 19 that will necessitate an agreement under section 278 of the Highways Act.
- 5. You should consult the Environment Agency, 30-34 Albert Embankment, London SE1 7TL (Ref. TL/2008/101631/02-L02) regarding the recovery, treatment and disposal of contaminated soils, drainage details (Condition 13) and the design of the foundations of the building (Condition 14).
- 6. Any other informative(s) considered necessary by the Corporate Director Development & Renewal.

## 4. ADDITIONAL CONSULTATION RESPONSES

4.1. Since the consideration of the original and addendum reports by the Committee on 19<sup>th</sup> February 2009 (attached as Appendix 1 and 2), the following additional representations have been received:

## Greater London Authority (GLA)

4.2. Following a meeting between officers of the GLA, the applicant and Council officers, the GLA wrote to the applicant on 13<sup>th</sup> March 2009. The Authority noted that their earlier letter of 12<sup>th</sup> February 2009 suggested there:

"Might be additional value in the scheme, which could be used to provide additional affordable housing".

In response to this contention, the applicant submitted:

- An alternative use value for the City Pride site;
- A note responding to Atis Real's assessment of the Affordable Housing Toolkit and;
- A covering letter, which explains that there is no additional value across the two sites
- 4.3. Having reviewed this information, by letter 13<sup>th</sup> March 2009, GLA officers concluded that the £17 million deficit shown in the applicant's Housing Toolkit is not additional value, which can be drawn upon to provide more affordable housing, but the worst-case scenario for the applicant who is hoping to reduce this deficit as the housing market stabilises. As such, the offer of 40% affordable housing across both sites represents the maximum reasonable amount.

#### Further neighbour comments

- 4.4 Following the reports to the Committee on 19<sup>th</sup> February 2009, a further 7 representations have been received from local residents. These comprise:
  - 5 letters of objection and
  - 3 letters of support.

### 4.5. <u>Objecting</u>

The objections raised the following issues:

- Density.
- Tenure imbalance due to the trade-off between the City Pride and Island Point sites.
- The provision of the majority of the affordable housing at Island Point would not be financially neutral.
- The architecture and height of the development would fail to respect the Chapel House Conservation Area with the 8-storey buildings C and E being out of scale (2-storeys too high).
- Potential security and policing problems due to handover by the developer to an unknown entity.
- Unsatisfactory location of rubbish bins.
- Loss of privacy to housing in Chapel House Street.
- The pedestrian access to Julian Place would be detrimental to the peacefulness of the street and the security of existing residents.

These issues have been mostly previously considered in the Corporate Director of Development and Renewal's original report and Addendum Update Report which are both appended to this item. The affordable housing would be managed by a registered social landlord and no management difficulties in terms of security and policing are envisaged.

## 4.6. <u>Supporting</u>

The following points were made by neighbours in support of the development:

- There is a critical need for family sized homes in the area.
- Half the site would not be built on providing a good setting for family homes.
- Affordable housing will address housing need in the area and take many people off waiting lists;
- Good quality affordable housing is proposed and should be supported;
- The proposal represents an excellent development of a site that is currently derelict and an eyesore.

These issues were also considered in the Corporate Director of Development and Renewal's original report and the Addendum Update Report.

## 5. ADDITIONAL MATERIAL PLANNING CONSIDERATIONS

#### **Background**

- 5.1 This application was originally considered by the Strategic Development Committee at its meeting on 19<sup>th</sup> February 2009. Members heard speakers both for and against the scheme, received a presentation by officers and also had a report by the Corporate Director of Development and Renewal together with an Addendum Update Report to consider. Both the original reports are appended to this item.
- 5.2 Following discussions, the Committee resolved, on a vote of 8 for with 1 abstention, that the matter should be deferred for consideration at the next meeting of the Committee. This was to enable further information to be provided on the Affordable Housing Statement and Economic Appraisal (Housing Toolkit) that accompanies the application. On the advice of the Service Head Development Decisions, a parallel application (PA/08/2293) involving the redevelopment of the City Pride Public House, 15 Westferry Road was withdrawn from the Committee agenda as the two items are linked in terms of affordable housing provision. The report by the Corporate Director of Development and Renewal on the application affecting the City Pride is now included elsewhere on this agenda.

#### Housing Toolkit

- 5.3. As advised in the Corporate Director of Development and Renewal's original report, the applicant's housing toolkit has been assessed by the Council's independent advisors (Atis Real). Atis Real were asked to consider the applicant's toolkit and also suggest, using figures suggested by officers and from their own valuation experience, what was the maximum level of affordable housing that the joint scheme could produce.
- 5.4. Atis Real concluded that the development could viably provide 40% affordable housing by habitable rooms.
- 5.5. However, the GLA, whilst acknowledging that the affordable housing provision was a good offer over both sites, suggested that there:

"Might be additional value in the scheme, which could be used to provide additional affordable housing".

- 5.6 Council officers and their valuation advisors could see no justification for the GLA's view. Nevertheless, in response to the GLA's contention and the Members questions on this subject, the applicant submitted:
  - An alternative use value for the City Pride site;
  - A note responding to Atis Real's assessment of the toolkit and;
  - A covering letter, which explains that there is no additional value across the two sites.
- 5.7. Having reviewed this additional information, by letter 13<sup>th</sup> March 2009, the GLA

concluded that the £17 million deficit shown in the Housing Toolkit is not additional value, which can be drawn upon to provide more affordable housing, but the worst-case scenario for the applicant who is hoping to reduce this deficit as the housing market stabilises. As such, they concluded that the offer of 40% affordable housing across both sites represents the maximum reasonable amount that could be provided.

#### Revisions to the scheme

- 5.8. Whilst the talks with the GLA continued, the applicant took the opportunity to consider the provision of separate kitchens within the Island Point development.
- 5.9. The submitted scheme already includes separate kitchens within the proposed 20 townhouses. However, the majority of the proposed apartments are designed to incorporate an open plan kitchen and living / dining room to allow the most flexibility of the internal layouts of the units. These 'multi purpose' rooms have been designed to be of such a size that they could be divided up and occupied in a manner to suit the lifestyles of the tenants. The units have been designed to exceed the minimum space standards outlined in the Council's planning guidance. Furthermore, the GLA has recognised that the development will provide a good standard of accommodation for families.
- 5.10. The applicant has undertaken a study to ascertain which units at Island Point could be altered to incorporate a separate kitchen. Of the total number of family units (101 three, four and five-bed units), 56 could be configured to provide a separate kitchen. This comprises 55% of the family units.
- 5.11. The provision of these separate kitchens would result in the creation of 45 additional habitable rooms (kitchens over 13 sq m in size being defined as habitable rooms in the Council's Unitary Development Plan). This would increase the total number of habitable rooms to 750 (across both sites) and result in an increase in the amount of affordable housing being provided to 41.5% calculated by habitable rooms. This is shown in the table below:
- 5.12 Percentage of affordable housing with amended separate kitchen layouts.

Site	Total Habitable Rooms	Habitable Rooms Affordable	Affordable Housing Provision	
City Pride	1043	50	5%	
Island Point	764	700	91.6%	
Total	1807	750	41.5%	

- 5.13. Across both sites, the amended proposals with separate kitchens would result in a ratio of social rent to intermediate housing of 64:36 on a unit basis and 71:29 calculated by habitable rooms. The calculation based on habitable rooms would comply with policy 3A.9 of The London Plan.
- 5.14. In addition, the applicant has indicated a willingness to alter the rented/intermediate split of the 41.5% affordable housing offer (if allied to a grant cascade mechanism) by funding the conversion of tenure from

intermediate housing to social rent of 21 units (66 habitable rooms) within Block A of Island Point. This would alter the rented/intermediate split of the affordable component for the two sites to 80/20. The additional cost to the developer of transferring the tenure of these units would be £1,869,759.50.

- 5.15. Alternatively, should the local planning authority prefer, then the tenure balance could remain as currently specified and the £1,869,759.50 could be transferred to the Council as a payment in lieu of on-site provision, and be used to deliver additional affordable housing elsewhere in the borough.
- 5.16. For this to happen, the section 106 agreement with the developer would need to include a cascade mechanism (as referred to above) whereby any shortfall in grant from the Homes and Communities Agency (at the funding rate prescribed by the Council's consultant Atis Real of £23,500 per person housed in social rented accommodation and £11,000 per person housed in shared ownership accommodation) could be offset against the £1,869,759.50, either through a reduction in the payment in lieu or through the conversion of intermediate accommodation to private accommodation at City Pride or Island Point.
- 5.17. Whilst the increase in the percentage of affordable housing due to the provision of separate kitchens is welcomed, the proposed cascade mechanism is not considered acceptable. This is because of the potential to lose the shared ownership unit tenure at the City Pride PH (thereby losing the small amount of shared ownership housing at this site) and the lack of a definite affordable housing outcome that this arrangement would create.

#### **Conclusion**

- 5.18. Based on the amended proposals with separate kitchens, the recommendation to Committee above has been altered to propose an affordable housing percentage of 41.5% to be secured by a legal agreement. An additional amending condition is also recommended to ensure the 56 separate kitchens are provided.
- 5.19. For information, should the scheme include no grant, the affordable housing offer would be 40% as set out below.

Site	Total Habitable Rooms	Habitable Rooms Affordable	Affordable Housing Provision
City Pride	1043	25	2.5%
Island Point	764	700	91.6%
Total	1807	725	40%

#### 6. CONCLUSION

6.1. All relevant policies and considerations have been taken into account. Planning permission should be granted for the reasons set out in the SUMMARY OF MATERIAL PLANNING CONSIDERATIONS and the details of the decisions are set out in the RECOMMENDATIONS at the beginning of this report. Site Map

